

HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL OF ONTARIO

BETWEEN:

Adele Mercier

Applicant

-and-

Queen's University, Alistair Maclean, Christine Sypnowich, Dan Bradshaw, David Bakhurst, Gordon Smith, Henry Laycock, Rahul Kumar, Deborah Knight and Sergio Sismondo

Respondent

-and-

Queen's University Faculty Association

Intervenor

INTERIM DECISION

Adjudicator: Maureen Doyle

Date: August 21, 2012

File Number: 2010-07587-l

Citation: 2012 HRTO 1597

Indexed as: Mercier v. Queen's University

WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

Adele Mercier, Applicant))	No submissions received
)	
Queen's University, Alistair Maclean, Christine Sypnowich, Dan Bradshaw, David Bakhurst, Gordon Smith, Henry Laycock, Rahul Kumar, Deborah Knight and Sergio Sismondo, Respondents))	Alan Whyte, Counsel

INTRODUCTION

- [1] The respondents filed a Request for Order During Proceedings (RFOP) on July 12, 2012, seeking to amend their Response.
- [2] The applicant has not responded to this RFOP and the time for doing so has now passed.
- [3] The respondents seek to amend paragraph #21 of their Response regarding statistics related to MA and PhD applications for the period 2005 to 2011. They state that a closer examination of the data they provided in their Response indicates that there were some inaccuracies. They note that in her Reply, the applicant challenged their interpretation of the statistics and state that they do not oppose the applicant being given the opportunity to make reply to their amended paragraph.
- [4] The Response to the Application is 329 paragraphs in length. Though the Applicant has filed a Reply to the Response, no hearing date has yet been scheduled. Given the fact that the respondents seek to amend a very small portion of their Response and given the fact that these proceedings have not yet advanced to a hearing, giving the applicant sufficient time to file an amended Reply to that one paragraph, should she wish to do so, I find that in the interest of a fair, just and expeditious resolution of this Application, it is appropriate to grant the respondents' request to amend their Response.

ORDER

- [5] Paragraph # 21 of the Response is amended in the manner proposed by the respondents in their RFOP.
- [6] The applicant may deliver and file a Reply to the amended paragraph #21 not later than 14 days after the date of this Interim Decision.

[7] I am not seized.

Dated at Toronto, this 21st day of August, 2012. "Signed by"

Maureen Doyle Vice-chair